IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

SENNAYI TEOUME-LESSANE,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:07cv159

(Judge Stamp)

JEFF BOLYARD, Acting Warden,

Respondent.

**ROSEBORO NOTICE** 

On January 28, 2008, the respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment in

response to the petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus. The Court notes that the petitioner is

proceeding pro se. The Court has a mandatory duty to advise the petitioner of his right to file responsive

material, and to alert him to the fact that his failure to so respond might result in the entry of an order of

dismissal against him. Davis v. Zahradrich, 600 F.2d 458, 460 (4th Cir. 1979); Roseboro v. Garrison, 528

F2d 309, 310 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1975). The petitioner is so advised.

Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Order, the petitioner shall file any opposition explaining

why his case should not be dismissed. The petitioner is advised that he must serve the respondent with

any response he files.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to mail a copy of this Order to the pro se petitioner by certified

mail, return receipt requested, to his last known address as shown on the docket sheet. The Clerk of the

Court is further directed to provide a copy of this Order to all counsel of record, as applicable, as provided

in the Administrative Procedures for Electronic Filing in the United States District Court.

DATED: January 30, 2008

/s/ James E. Seibert

JAMES E. SEIBERT

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE